

SCORESHEET

FILED IN BY CC SYSTEM

REPORTER Start from 1 and add/subtract

1 + + - =

fight (round no.): _____ stage: _____ room: _____ problem no.: _____ Juror's name & signature: _____
 reporter: _____ opponent: _____ reviewer: _____

REPORT	phenomenon explanation	theory/model	relevant experiments	comparison between theory and experiment	own contribution	task fulfilment	science communication	DISCUSSION WITH OPPONENT	ANSWERS TO JURY, OPPONENT, and REVIEWER'S QUESTIONS
	almost no	almost no	almost no	almost no	almost no	misunderstood	unclear, chaotic		
0	some	some	some	some	review of sources, cited	partly	partly clear	relevant arguments/responses	reporter's conduct at the discussion
1	fair	fair	fair	qualitative agreement	some own input	average	average	too few	poor
2	good	good	good	quantitative agreement	+ some interesting results	some aspects above average	some aspects well done	some	partly fine
3	detailed Demonstrative	quite detailed, correct	or ↕ and multitude of parametres examined errors analysis	+ limits discussed	or ↕ and considerable experimental considerable theoretical	interesting solution	overall clear, demonstrative	many	good
4								deep and comprehensible, shows physical insight	detailed, complex, completely testable
5	proved deep understanding	overall efficient							
6			3						
7									

NOTES:

OPPONENT Start from 1 and add/subtract

1 + + + - =

QUESTIONS ASKED	OPPOSITION (SPEECH)				DISCUSSION WITH REPORTER			ANSWERS TO JURY and REVIEWER'S QUESTIONS
	understanding of presentation	relevant topics and prioritisation	own opinions presented	time management	relevant topics and prioritisation	own opinions presented	opponent's conduct of the discussion	
0	almost nothing	irrelevant	too few	poor	irrelevant	too few	poor	concise and correct or no questions asked
1	some main points	too few	some	reasonable	too few	some	some aspects fine	some incorrect, inconclusive or too long
2	main points	some	some correct	fair	some	some correct	good	some incorrect, inconclusive or too long
3	all relevant points	most	many correct	efficient	most	many correct	some aspects efficient	deeply incorrect or shows deep misconceptions
4	practically all points	well prioritised	+ improvement suggestions	+ all time used	well prioritised	+ improvement suggestions	overall efficient	

NOTES:

REVIEWER Start from 1 and add/subtract

1 + + + + ± - =

QUESTIONS ASKED	REVIEW OF REPORT		REVIEW OF OPPOSITION		DISCUSSION ANALYSIS		MISSED POINTS POINTED OUT	ANSWERS TO JURY QUESTIONS
	evaluation & understanding	pros & cons prioritisation	speech evaluation	pros & cons prioritisation	discussion evaluation	correct own opinions		
0	poor/wrong	irrelevant	poor/wrong	irrelevant	poor	irrelevant	-1	irrelevant
1	superficial	partially relevant/correct	superficial	partially relevant/correct	superficial	some	0	none
2	good	mostly correct/prioritised	good	mostly correct/prioritised	relevant parts	many	1	relevant, constructive
3	apt, accurate	fully adequate, well prioritised	apt & accurate	fully adequate, well prioritised	accurate, convincing	fully adequate	2	

NOTES: