

# SCORESHEET

FILED IN BY CC SYSTEM

**REPORTER** Start from 1 and add/subtract

1 +  +  -  =

fight (round no.): \_\_\_\_\_ stage: \_\_\_\_\_ room: \_\_\_\_\_ problem no.: \_\_\_\_\_ Juror's name & signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
 reporter: \_\_\_\_\_ opponent: \_\_\_\_\_ reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_

REPORT	phenomenon explanation	theory/model	relevant experiments	comparison between theory and experiment	own contribution	task fulfilment	science communication	DISCUSSION WITH OPPONENT		ANSWERS TO JURY, OPPONENT, and REVIEWER'S QUESTIONS		
	almost no	almost no	almost no	almost no	almost no	misunderstood	unclear, chaotic	relevant arguments/responses	reporter's conduct at the discussion			
0	some	some	some	some	review of sources, cited	partly	partly clear	0	too few	poor	0	concise and correct or no questions asked
1	fair	fair	fair	qualitative agreement	some own input	average	average	1	some	partly fine	1	some incorrect, inconclusive or too long
2	good	good	good	quantitative agreement	+ some interesting results	some aspects above average	some aspects well done	2	+ data/theory supported	some aspects efficient	2	deeply incorrect or shows deep misconceptions
3	detailed Demonstrative	quite detailed, correct	or ↕ and multitude of parametres examined errors analysis	+ limits discussed	or ↕ and considerable experimental considerable theoretical	interesting solution	overall clear, demonstrative	3	proved deep understanding	overall efficient	3	
4												

NOTES:

**OPPONENT** Start from 1 and add/subtract

1 +  +  +  -  =

QUESTIONS ASKED	OPPOSITION (SPEECH)				DISCUSSION WITH REPORTER			ANSWERS TO JURY and REVIEWER'S QUESTIONS	
0	understanding of presentation	relevant topics and prioritisation	own opinions presented	time management	relevant topics and prioritisation	own opinions presented	opponent's conduct of the discussion		
0	almost nothing	irrelevant	too few	poor	irrelevant	too few	poor	0	concise and correct or no questions asked
1	some main points	too few	some	reasonable	1	some	some aspects fine	1	some incorrect, inconclusive or too long
2	main points	some	some correct	fair	2	some correct	good	2	deeply incorrect or shows deep misconceptions
3	all relevant points	most	many correct	efficient	3	many correct	some aspects efficient	3	
4	practically all points	well prioritised	+ improvement suggestions	+ all time used	4	+ improvement suggestions	overall efficient	4	

NOTES:

**REVIEWER** Start from 1 and add/subtract

1 +  +  +  +  ±  -  =

QUESTIONS ASKED	REVIEW OF REPORT		REVIEW OF OPPOSITION		DISCUSSION ANALYSIS		MISSED POINTS POINTED OUT	ANSWERS TO JURY QUESTIONS
0	evaluation & understanding	pros & cons prioritisation	speech evaluation	pros & cons prioritisation	discussion evaluation	correct own opinions	0	
0	poor/wrong	irrelevant	poor/wrong	irrelevant	0	irrelevant	-1	irrelevant
1	superficial	partially relevant/correct	1	partially relevant/correct	1	some	0	none
2	good	mostly correct/prioritised	2	mostly correct/prioritised	2	many	1	relevant, constructive
3	apt, accurate	fully adequate, well prioritised	3	fully adequate, well prioritised	3	fully adequate	2	

NOTES: